1 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/816,354	PAWLENKO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dameon E. Levi	2841
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Dameon E. Levi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephan P. Gribok (Reg. No. 29,643)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11/28/2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102(e)		
Claims discussed: 6, 16		
Prior art documents discussed: USb 6872881		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Dameer Len		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representative agreed to cancel claim 16 which was deemed readable on the art of record above Applicant's Representative also agreed to amend claim 6 to depend from claim 1 instead of cancelled claim 5. Furthermore, Applicant's Representative agreed to submit a Brief Description of drawing Fig. 6 in the Disclosure in an Amendment under 37 CFR 1.312.